GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

1902-03
(Miscellaneous)

File No. 42

Serial Nos. 1 - 11

Papers Filed.

Month March 1903.

	SUBJECT.		
D June The in the at M	es to disturb the order passed by the un District Deputy Commissioner, reinstating rprojaries Venkatayya & Thimmayya Offices of the Sri Thirumala Devaru Temple valli Village, Kunigal Taluk -		
PR	IOUS REFERENCES	LATER REFERENCES	

in his steward; but that the (Dy Commr) cancelled his own order subsequently and restored the former Anjaria Venkatayya to his appointment, which gave rise to the enquiries in the Temple being greatly neglected. This statement, which was received* in this office through the Private Secretary to His Highness, for disposal was forwarded to the Deputy Commissioner, for a detailed report. In reply, the Dy Commissioner in his communication referred to above, states in detail the circumstances

* With his Opn no 252 dated 22nd September 1902.

⊛ With his office Opn no 612 dated 29th September 1902.

under which the joint-
Rajarius Venkatagya and
Thimmaiah had been
temporarily removed from
services : - his predecessor
Mr B. G. Krishnamurthy,
who after being subsequently
satisfied by local enquiry
held again, that these
charges were not established
and that the irregularity
and the neglect in the
performance of the Rajas
were owing to the factions
in the villages, ordered
the reinstatement of the
joint- Rajarius Venkatagya
and Thimmiah.

3. The petitioners
themselves have admitted
in their petition to His

Highness

Highness the Maharaja
Rao Venkatappa, one of
the joint Rajahs, against
whom they complain, has
been the Rajah of the
temple in question for
a very long time. Their
chief complaint is that
the Rajah greatly neglected
the performance of the
Puja and that he, who
had been once removed
by the Dy Commissioner
should not have been
reinstated by the same
Officer, to the prejudice
of the substitute Rajah
Hanumantappa, temporarily
appointed by them with
the approval of

Commissioner.

4 The facts enumerated in the Dy Comr's letter in detail, are borne out by the records of the local enquiry, which he has submitted with his present communication.

5 There was no regular appeal to Government against the orders of the Dy Commissioner, except the memorial submitted to His Highness the Maharaja.

Submitted

Jcs
4/2/03

Refer to the Dewan
for final action.
If the Dy Comr is restoring the old fugarees need not, I think, be disturbed.
The petn may be rejected.
8873
dd 9.3.03.
KK 8/3/03

Draft KK
12/3/03

Jcs
10.III.03

1089

30

OFFICE OF THE DEM...
RECEIVED
1676
BRANCH

SL 2

No 692 En. 1, Sl 3

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE

MUZRAI DEPARTMENT.

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

No.

The accompanying Petition No. 676

dated 1st November received at the

Dewan's Office from one Muddi

Gowda of Manavalli in

Kunigal Taluk, stating that

the Archak of the Gurumalu

Swamy Temple at the said

village has not been attending

to his duties properly ——— is forwarded, ~~together with its~~

~~enclosures~~, to the Dy Commr,

Tumkur District, for

disposal

By Order,

Muzrai Secretary

BANGALORE,
3rd Jany 1901

15/11/07





Sl 4

Transferred to Mysore

Deptt

12.2.02

13/2/02

Put up with previous papers

RECEIVED
Date 1

OFFICE

UZRAI B

SLS

Name of Petitioner Bangalore. Thimmaiya & others

Village Mannur

Taluk Kunigal

District Tumkur

Date of Arzi 1-2-02

Date of Receipt 3—

Date of Disposal

No. of Enclosure —

ABSTRACT

Remarks: In the present petitions it is questioning that as Archika Venkataramana does not perform the worshipping duties of Sirumala Swamy temple Urs arrangements may be made for the regular worship

The petitioners state that Archika Venkataramana declines to perform the worship both morning and evening that the W.J. Comm.

MGCPB—23-3-01—3,000.

ordered a new man to be
appointed in his place
that the said Venkatiah
brought a false crimes
charge against some of the
Vellalar.
that Venkatiah ~~does~~
neither performs the
worship regularly nor
does he allow others
do the same regularly
pray that Dharmadarsi
may be appointed and
arrangements may be
made for the regular
worship in the temple
H B
11/2/11

2275

2275R
3-2-1902

R D 324 & 825 of 28.8.02
Encl.

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE

MUZRAI DEPARTMENT. 816

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

No.

The accompanying Petition No. 2275/744 dated 1-2-1902 received at the Dewan's Office from Bangalore Jimmiah and others of Manavalli in Kunigal Taluk, stating that the archak of Tirumala-Devaroo Temple at the said Village has not ~~is forwarded, together with its enclosures, to the~~ been attending to his duties properly, is forwarded to the Deputy Commissioner Tumkur District for disposal in continuation of this office memo: No. 692 dated 3d January 1901.

By Order,

Sdc.

BANGALORE, 7-1902

Ag. Muzrai Secretary

R/ Muzr. Dept.

R.O. 612 En 1D 29/9/02

& 613.

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE,

MUZRAI DEPARTMENT.

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

No. SLS

The accompanying Petition No. 280-252 / 194-13

dated 15th Sept 1902 ~~received at the Dewan's Office from~~ addressed by one of H. H. the Maharaja from the residents of Mamandli Village in the Kunigal Taluk stating that the Archak of the Ganesha Temple at the said Village was not been attending to his duties properly is forwarded, ~~together with its enclosures~~, to the Dy Commr Tumkur for in continuation of the marginally noted memos.

No 692 dated 3rd June 1902

324 dated 28th Aug 1902

The Dy Commr is requested to submit an early report on the allegations contained in the petitions

By Order,

BANGALORE, 26th / 1902

Muzrai Secretary

25/9/02

Ex

Ent-letters

Urgent Remr

MUZRAI

1901

From

To The Dy: Commissioner
Tumkur Dt.

Dated 24th October 1902

Despatched

Received

General No. 781
Muzrai F. No.

Enclosure No.

This office No: 612 dt/29th September 1902 forwarding for report Petition addressed to H H. the Maharajah by the residents of Manavalli village Kunigal Taluk, stating that the Archak of the Tirumala Devaru temple has not been attending to his duties properly.

I have the honor to draw attention to the above and to request the favor of a very early reply. By Order

MGCPB—11-1-1901—1500

Dy: Secy
Muz Dept.

MUZRAI

19 —19

From

DEPUTY SECRETARY;
GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE,
MUZRAI DEPARTMENT.

To The Deputy Commissioner
Tumkur Dt

Dated 21 November 1902

Despatched

Received

General No. 1086
Muzrai F. No.

Enclosure No.

N° 781 d/24/10/02

This Office N° 612 dy 29th Sept 1902 & ~~subsequent~~ reminder as per margin forwarding for report Petition addressed to H. H the Maharajah by the residents of Manavalli village, Kunigal Taluk, stating that the Archak of the Tirumala Devaru temple has not been attending to his duties properly

"

Has the honor to invite attention to the above and to request in favor of a reply before the end of this month.

By Order

for Dy Secretary
Muz: Dept

MGOPB—2,000—7-6-02

27/11/02

Refer

1902/03

FROM The Deputy Commissioner
Tumkur District SL10

To The Deputy Secretary to Govt
Muzrai Department



18/12/02

Dated 14th December 1902

Despatched

Received

General No. 427
No.

Enclosure No. 21 / file

Enclosure no 612 dated 29th Sept 1902

Reporting on the petition of the residents of Hanavalli, Kunigal Taluk Complaining of the irregularities of the Archaks of Sri Tirumaladevaru Temple at Hanavalli

mmissioner's Office,
ur District.

No 421/2d file

Tuticorin 14th December 1902.

From

M. S. Narayana Rao Esqr
Deputy Commissioner
Tinnevelly District

To

L Anantasami Rao Esqr B.A.
Deputy Secretary to the Government of Madras
Muzrai Department

Sir,

With reference to your Official Memorandum no 612 dated 29th September 1902 and subsequent reminders calling for a report on the petition of certain inhabitants of the Manavaki Village, Kunigal Taluk, complaining that one Venkatesh and one Timmiah, joint Archaks of the Tirumaladevaree Temple are not performing the puja regularly, I have the honor to state as follows:-

2 The temple is a Madras Institution enjoying Inam lands as noted in the margin, on a Jodi of Rs 5/- which are in the possession of the said Archaks, Venkatesh and Timmiah.

3 In May 1900, the Villagers complained to the Amildar of the Kunigal Taluk regarding the irregular performance of puja in the temple by the said Archaks, when the then Amildar held an enquiry and in reporting on the matter, he sought orders of the Deputy Commissioner for the Pujaree being compelled to perform puja in the morning as well as in the evening, According to the manual as proved by the Maharyas drawn up by the inhabitants of that Village and of the neighbouring Villagers (Vide papers no 14 on the file)

4 Thereupon, the then Deputy Commissioner

Manavaki Village
Survey no 31 - dry 9 - 26
" — 16 - wet 4 — 21
43 dry 3 — 3

Mr. B.G. Krishnamayar heard both the parties in May 1901 and ordered that Venkatesh and Timmiah do continue as pujarees as theretofore, on the express condition that they should perform the puja twice a day as per manual, the lamp oil being supplied by the villagers for lighting up the Temple in the night and that, in the event of the Archaki failure to conduct puja regularly, a competent pujari should be nominated by the villagers, subject to confirmation by the Deputy Commissioner (Vide paper no 16 on the file)

5. In August 1901, the Amildar reported that the pujaree had fallen into their old ways and neglected the night puja (mangalarati) though the villagers were regularly supplying lamp oil, bringing also to notice that the pujarees had stopped puja altogether for 10 days in the month of July. Further the villagers on their own responsibility, appointed one Mangalada Hanumanthaiah to perform the puja temporarily in lieu of the said Archaka and Mr B.G. Krishnamayar approved of this arrangement in his order of the 14th November 1901 (Vide paper no 17 on the file).

6. The said pujarees then protested to the present Amildar against that order. He instituted a thorough enquiry into the matter and

and reported that the Vikayas and not the Archaks were at fault inasmuch as the irregularity and the neglect in the performance of the puja was the consequence of the Vikayi being factions and the strife existing between the Vikayi Pedda on the one side and the Archaks on the other.

7 Nanje Gowdana Moodlagiri who is the headman of the Temple affairs is in favor of retaining the joint Archaks and promises to supply lamp oil until the Vikayas come forward to supply the same themselves. (Vide paper no 35 on the file)

8 In Consideration of all the circumstance of the case, the Rt Krishnaiyar ordered in march 1902, the reinstatement of the joint Pujaris, Venkatesh and Seminath

9 The present appeal of the petitioners (Vikayas) is against the above order

10. The entire Vernacular records relating to the case are herewith forwarded and the enclosures of the Official Memorandum are returned.

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most obedient servant

Shankaran Nair
for Deputy Commissioner

2f

1723

28 10 02

209

133

2

1-11

ತಿ/ಕ - ದಿನಾಂಕ 28/10/2002.

From
The Deputy Commissioner

ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ ಕಂದಾಯ/ಸಿ.ಆರ್.ಎಂ.ಎಸ್/ಸಿ.ಆರ್.ಎಂ.ಎಸ್-೨/೨೦೦೨-೦೩

ಕಾರ್ಯಾಲಯ

ಉಪವಿಭಾಗಾಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳು

ಚಿಕ್ಕಮಗಳೂರು ದಿನಾಂಕ 16/07/2002.

ವಿಷಯ: ಸಿ.ಆರ್.ಎಂ.ಎಸ್ ಯೋಜನೆಯಡಿ ಕಂದಾಯ ಇಲಾಖೆಯ ಕಡತಗಳನ್ನು ಗಣಕೀಕರಣಗೊಳಿಸುವ ಬಗ್ಗೆ.

ಉಲ್ಲೇಖ: ಸರ್ಕಾರದ ಆದೇಶ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ ಕಂದಾಯ 612/ಸಿ ಆರ್ ಎಂ ಎಸ್ 2002 ದಿನಾಂಕ 29/7/02

ಮೇಲ್ಕಂಡ ವಿಷಯ ಮತ್ತು ಉಲ್ಲೇಖಕ್ಕೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದಂತೆ ಸರ್ಕಾರದ ಆದೇಶದಂತೆ ಕಂದಾಯ ಇಲಾಖೆಯ ಕಡತಗಳನ್ನು ಗಣಕೀಕರಣಗೊಳಿಸುವ ಸಲುವಾಗಿ ಸಿ.ಆರ್.ಎಂ.ಎಸ್ ಯೋಜನೆಯನ್ನು ಜಾರಿಗೊಳಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ. ಈ ಯೋಜನೆಯಡಿ ಕಡತಗಳನ್ನು ಗಣಕೀಕರಣಗೊಳಿಸಲು ಅಗತ್ಯವಿರುವ ಸಿಬ್ಬಂದಿಯನ್ನು ಹೊರಗುತ್ತಿಗೆ ಆಧಾರದ ಮೇಲೆ ನೇಮಕ ಮಾಡಿಕೊಳ್ಳಲು ಸರ್ಕಾರವು ಆದೇಶಿಸಿದೆ. ಅದರಂತೆ ಈ ಕಛೇರಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಕಡತಗಳನ್ನು ಗಣಕೀಕರಣಗೊಳಿಸಲು ಅಗತ್ಯವಿರುವ ಸಿಬ್ಬಂದಿಯನ್ನು ಹೊರಗುತ್ತಿಗೆ ಆಧಾರದ ಮೇಲೆ ನೇಮಕ ಮಾಡಿಕೊಳ್ಳಲು ಕ್ರಮ ಕೈಗೊಳ್ಳಲಾಗಿದೆ.

ಸರ್ಕಾರದ ಆದೇಶದಂತೆ ಈ ಕಛೇರಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಕಡತಗಳನ್ನು ಗಣಕೀಕರಣಗೊಳಿಸಲು ಅಗತ್ಯವಿರುವ ಸಿಬ್ಬಂದಿಯನ್ನು ಹೊರಗುತ್ತಿಗೆ ಆಧಾರದ ಮೇಲೆ ನೇಮಕ ಮಾಡಿಕೊಳ್ಳಲು ಕ್ರಮ ಕೈಗೊಳ್ಳಲಾಗಿದೆ.

ಸಹಾಯಕ ಆಯುಕ್ತರು

ಚಿಕ್ಕಮಗಳೂರು

Deputy Commissioner

R.O. 1900 & 1901 D 18/3/1903.

Muz 142-1902

OFFICE OF GOVT. OF MYSORE, Sl 11

MUZRAI DEPARTMENT,

The 189

ENDORSEMENT granted to Bangalore Thimmia and Other residents of Manavalli village, Hunigal Taluk, in reply to their Petition Registered No. 280-252/199-13 dated 15th Sept. 1902 addressed to H.H. the Maharaja in regard to the Pujarishipp of the Timmala Devaru temple in the village.

Petitioners are informed that Govt. see no reason to disturb the Order passed by the Dy Comr, Tumkur District, reinstating the former Pujaries Venkatia and Thimmia & their Sons.

2. Their petition is accordingly rejected.

By Order,

Muzrai Secretary

R 1901 En 2 & 1 file VPP. (Dewan's Order) D/C

Copy to D.C. Tumkur with reference to his No 427/714 Dec 02 returning enclosures. B.O.

Dy Secy, Muzrai Dept